

Edsel Law Review

First Editorial Statement

By

Aku L. T. Jutila

2026



Metadata

Title: First Editorial Statement

Author(s): Aku L. T. Jutila (Independent Scholar)

Corresponding Author: Aku L. T. Jutila

Corresponding Email: editorialoffice@edselnet.org

Keywords: Editorial statement, editorial note

Publication Date: 24/05/2026

Journal name: Edsel Law Review

Word Count: 584

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

Character art by Cas_ti3l

Abstract

The first editorial statement of the Edsel Law Review academic journal, it will cover the journal's mission and goals. These topics shall be discussed in sufficient detail for clarity of the journal's intentions in its publication activities, contributing to legal academia, and the mountain of human knowledge, by being an additional venue, in conceptual law and legal theory.

I. Editorial Statement

The Edsel Law Review will now begin accepting submissions for the first time, the beginning of the life of the journal, as a US-style editorial reviewed simultaneous consideration law review. Rather than going for a traditional generalist scope, or a topic scope like international or criminal law, the journal has decided to live within the, in its opinion, underrepresented area of jurisprudence, conceptual law and pure legal theory. The journal can accommodate a broad range of topics, as long as they fit within the definition of conceptual law as written in the journal's conceptual law guide. Therefore, the journal would like to see itself as the home for many good manuscripts that have failed to find a home due to a lack of venues dedicated to conceptual law and pure legal theory.

With our transparent rigor standards, review standards, and so on, our mission is to be a transparent journal for conceptual law, with rigor standards tailored for it, rather than trying to fit conceptual law into standards for doctrinal or empirical law. No offense to other journals of course, we do not view ourselves as existing in competition and appreciate all rigorous venues for being platforms for the development of legal academia and the mountain of human knowledge, our greatest love to all of them.

As for the journal's goals, our intentions are to publish as many of the submissions as possible. Of course, we will not abandon our rigor standards, but we wish to at least salvage as many manuscripts as possible, for we believe that every serious academic voice deserves a platform, and ours is to be such for manuscripts within our scope. As such, we will take great care, and pride

in it as well, to attempt to desk reject as little manuscripts as possible, and to give full editorial review to as many manuscripts as possible, when meeting our desk review standards, which should be trivial to pass when the manuscript at least intends to be conceptual or theoretical, and is writing itself seriously, even if in unusual styles. That is our goal for the coming year, and the years to follow as well, being a platform for the voices of scholars, both veterans of decades, and of autodidacts with little formal credentials, for it is what you write what matters, not who you are.

To end off our note to our dear readers, we thank each and every one of you for your readership of our journal, and the support it gives to the careers of our authors, and the support it gives to legal academia and human knowledge, as things to appreciate and fight for in our modern day, as something to protect and to appreciate genuinely.

Thank you so much.

- *Editors of Edsel Law Review*